







**UKCPA  
Pfizer Pre-registration Pharmacist Poster Adjudication Guidelines**

Please assess posters for the **Pfizer Pre-Reg Poster Award** on the following:

**1. Objectives**

Clarity of aim / objectives: are they achievable and realistic?

**(1= very poor, 2= weak, 3= fair, 4= very good, 5= excellent)**

**3. Results**

Are the results clearly presented in sufficient detail?

Are appropriate methods used to present data (tables, graphs etc.)?

**(1= very poor, 2= weak, 3= fair, 4= very good, 5= excellent)**

**5. Presentation**

Is the Poster well presented and easy to understand?

Does it have visual impact?

**(1= very poor, 2= weak, 3= fair, 4= very good, 5= excellent)**

**2. Methods**

Are the methods clearly described?

Are audit standards given / appropriate?

**(1= very poor, 2= weak, 3= fair, 4= very good, 5= excellent)**

**4. Conclusions**

Does the data support and justify the conclusions?

How will changes be made and reaudited?

**(1= very poor, 2= weak, 3= fair, 4= very good, 5= excellent)**

Please mark each Poster according to the above criteria, using the scale: 1= very poor, 2= weak, 3= fair, 4= very good, 5= excellent, then sum your scores to provide a total score. **It would be helpful if you could note your top TWO choices and briefly why.**

Poster Letter	Objectives	Methods	Results	Conclusions	Presentation	Total of scores	Top 1 or 2 – note why
A							
B							
C							
D							
E							
F							
G							
H							
I							
J							
K							